



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,386	12/30/1999	DAN A. GERMAN	06042-0160	7757

7590 05/27/2003

ADAM AVRUNIN ESQ
JONES AND ASKEW LLP
2400 MONARCH TOWER
3424 PEACHTREE ROAD NE
ATLANTA, GA 30326

EXAMINER

PWU, JEFFREY C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/476,386

Applicant(s)

GERMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey Pwu

Art Unit

3628

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 32-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 32-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment, filed 2003-01-27.
2. The group art unit of the Examiner handling your case has changed. The new art unit is **3628**. Please use current art unit on all correspondence to help us route your case in a timely fashion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 32-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over

Landry (U.S. 2001/0032183)

Landry disclose a computer-implementable method for providing a consumer-to-consumer payment via a computer network, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 3628

displaying a plurality of financial instrument types to a payor at a first remote computer (figs. 2A,2B);

receiving from the first remote computer a registration by the payor of the payment instrument comprising a selection of one of the plurality of financial instrument types as to define a registered payment instrument (payor account);

receiving from a second remote computer a registration by a payee of a disbursement instrument (payee account);

receiving a command for a transfer of an amount of money via the computer network (110);

ordering a transfer of the amount of money through the registered payment instrument (110);

ordering a transfer of disbursement amount of money through the disbursement instrument to the payee (110);

wherein receiving a command for the transfer of the amount of money comprises obtaining an authorization from a third party that passes a risk of nonpayment by the payor to the third party (paragraph [0017]-[0020]);

Art Unit: 3628

wherein receiving a command for the transfer of the amount of money comprises obtaining an authorization for an additional amount of money to cover the cost of the consumer-to-consumer payment service (paragraph [0017]-[0020]);

wherein the payment instrument comprises a flash cash deposit [0005];

prearranging a cash deposit to be made by the payor in person at a deposit location; and receiving a cash deposit to be made by the payor in person at a deposit location; and receiving notification from a flash cash processor that the payor has completed the deposit ([0003]-[0005]);

wherein the payment instrument comprises a credit card [0061];

receiving from the payor registration information comprises a name, address, card association, card number, and card expiration date (payor account);

sending the registration information to a credit card processor (170);

sending the registration information to a credit card processor (170); and

receiving a comparison of the address and an address of record for a holder of the credit card.

Art Unit: 3628

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-62 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3628

☞ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner
should be directed to Jeffrey Pwu whose telephone number is (703) 308-7835

Jeffrey Pwu



4 April 2003

JEFFREY PWU
PRIMARY EXAMINER